1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	Cabela's Retail, Inc.,	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-05973-RBL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER
10	·	ORDER
11	V.	
12	Hawks Prairie Investment, LLC,	[DKT. # 58]
13	Defendant.	
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Plaintiff (Dkt. #	
15	58). Cabela's Retail, Inc. and Hawks Prairie Investment, LLC contracted on November 6, 2007.	
16	Def.'s Resp. at 1. (Dkt. # 60). Cabela's Retail agreed to open a retail store on commercial	
17	property owned by Hawks Prairie Investment. <i>Id.</i> On June 1, 2008, Cabela's Retail, Inc. merged	
18	into Cabela's Wholesale, Inc. Pl.'s Mot. to Sub. at 1. Cabela's Wholesale is the surviving	
19	corporation of the merger and is the existing corporate entity responsible for operating the retail	
20	stores, including the one at issue here. <i>Id.</i> Cabela's Retail now moves pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.	
21	P. 17(a)(3) to substitute Cabela's Wholesale as the named plaintiff. Hawks Prairie Investment	
22	opposes substitution because the contract at issue was with Cabela's Retail.	
23	Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(a)(3) allows substitution of the real party in interest and for the action	
24	to proceed as if it had been originally commenced	by the real party in interest. Here, Cabela's

1	Wholesale is the surviving entity and therefore the real party in interest. See DePinto v.
2	Provident Sec. Life Ins. Co., 323 F.2d 826, 832 (1963). Substituting Cabela's Wholesale has no
3	affect on the factual allegations. Therefore, Plaintiff's Motion is GRANTED and Cabela's
4	Wholesale, Inc. is substituted as Plaintiff.
5	
6	Dated this 13th day of September, 2012.
7	DORG CIL
8	Ronald B. Leighton
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	